Network Coding-based Caching in Large-Scale SIC-Enabled Wireless Networks

Dongdong Jiang
Shanghai Jiao Tong University

Ying Cui
Shanghai Jiao Tong University

arXiv:1610.09526v2 [cs.IT] 1 Nov 2016

***Abstract*—Network coding-based caching at base stations (BSs) is a promising caching approach to support massive content delivery over wireless networks. However, existing network coding-based caching designs do not fully explore and exploit the potential advantages. In this paper, we consider the analysis and optimization of a random linear network coding-based caching design in large-scale successive interference cancelation (SIC)-enabled wireless networks. By utilizing tools from stochastic geometry, we derive a tractable expression for the successful transmission probability in the general file size regime. To further obtain design insights, we also derive closed-form expressions for the successful transmission probability in the small and large file size regimes, respectively. Then, we consider the successful transmission probability maximization by optimizing a design parameter, which is a complex discrete optimization problem. We propose a two-stage optimization framework and obtain a near optimal solution with superior performance and manageable complexity. The analysis and optimization results provide valuable design insights for practical cache and SIC enabled wireless networks. Finally, by numerical results, we show that the proposed near optimal caching design achieves a significant performance gain over some baseline caching designs.**

***Index Terms*—Cache, network coding, successive interference cancelation, stochastic geometry, optimization**

I. INTRODUCTION

The rapid proliferation of smart mobile devices has triggered an unprecedented growth of the global mobile data traffic. Recently, caching at base stations (BSs) has been proposed as a promising approach for massive content delivery by reducing the distance between popular files and their requesters. As the cache size is limited in general, designing caching strategies appropriately is a prerequisite for efficient content dissemination. In [1], the authors consider identical caching at BSs (i.e., all BSs store the same set of the most popular files), and analyze the outage probability and average rate. In [2], the authors consider random caching with files being stored at each BS in an i.i.d. manner. In [3], the authors consider random caching and multicasting on the basis of file combinations, and analyze and optimize the joint design. Note that the identical caching design in [1] cannot provide file diversity at different BSs, and hence may not sufficiently exploit storage resources. The random caching designs in [2], [3] can provide file diversity. However, a file transmission may not fully benefit from the file diversity provided by the random caching designs in [2], [3], when the serving BS is not the nearest BS of the file requester. Note that the caching designs proposed in [1]–[3] focus on storing entire files at each BS.

On the other hand, in [4]–[7], to further improve file diversity, files are partitioned into multiple subfiles, and each BS may store a uncoded or coded subfile of a file. References [4]–[6] consider caching coded subfiles. For instance, in [4] and [5], network coding-based caching designs are proposed and analyzed. In [6], the authors propose a MDS-based caching design, and consider the analysis and optimization of the backhaul rate. In [7], the authors propose a partition-based uncoded caching design and employ successive interference cancelation (SIC) at each user to enable parallel subfile transmissions. Note that the network coding-based caching designs proposed in [4], [5] are restricted to a single file and cannot be directly applied to the practical scenario with multiple files. In addition, the coded caching designs in [4]–[6] do not consider the delivery of the cached files. Hence, it is unclear how these designs affect ultimate user experiences. Reference [7] considers the delivery of the cached files. But the partition-based uncoded caching design in [7] may not sufficiently exploit storage resources. In summary, further studies are required to understand the fundamental impacts of communication, caching and computation (e.g., SIC) capabilities on network performance.

In this paper, we would like to address the above issues. We consider a reasonable cache-enabled wireless network model with multiple files and random channel fading as well as stochastic geographic locations of BSs. We propose a random liner network coding-based caching design with a design parameter and adopt SIC to decode multiple coded subfiles for recovering a requested file. Utilizing tools from stochastic geometry, we derive a tractable expression for the successful transmission probability in the general file size regime. To further obtain design insights, we derive closed-form expressions for the successful transmission probability in the small and large file size regimes, respectively, utilizing series expansion of some special functions. Then, we consider the successful transmission probability maximization in the general file size regime, which is a complex discrete optimization problem. By exploring structural properties, we propose a two-stage optimization framework to obtain a near optimal solution with superior performance and manageable complexity. We also obtain closed-form asymptotically optimal solutions in the small and large file size regimes, respectively. The analysis and optimization results reveal that the network coding-based caching significantly facilitates content dissemination when the file size is small or moderate, while caching the most



Fig. 1. System model. There are four files ($N = 4$) in the network, represented by the blue (file 1), green (file 2), yellow (file 3) and red (file 4) colors, respectively. Each BS is equipped with a cache of size $K = 2$ (in files). File 1 is not partitioned, and each of the other three files is partitioned into 3 subfiles. Each BS stores the uncoded file 1 and a coded subfile of each of the other three files. u_0 requests file 2. Each of the 3 nearest BSs of u_0 transmits the coded subfile of file 2 stored locally to u_0.

popular files greatly helps content dissemination when the file size is large. In addition, in the small file size regime, the optimal successful transmission probability increases with the cache size and the SIC capability. While, in the large file size regime, the optimal successful transmission probability increases with the cache size and is not affected by the SIC capability. Finally, by numerical results, we show that the proposed near optimal caching design achieves a significant performance gain over some baseline caching designs.

II. System Model and Performance Metric

A. Network Model

We consider a large-scale wireless network, as shown in Fig. 1. The locations of the base stations (BSs) are spatially distributed as a two-dimensional homogeneous Poisson point process (PPP) Φ_b with density λ_b. We focus on a typical user u_0, which we assume without loss of generality (w.l.o.g.) to be located at the origin. We enumerate the BSs with respect to their distances to u_0 starting with the BS nearest to u_0. The indices of the BSs from the nearest one to the farthest one are denoted as $1, 2, \cdots$ and $\Phi_b = \{1, 2, \cdots\}$. Let d_i denote the distance between BS i and u_0. Thus, we have $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \cdots$. We consider the downlink transmission. Each BS has one transmit antenna and transmits with power P over bandwidth W. Each user has one receive antenna. Consider a discrete-time system with time being slotted. The duration of each time slot is T seconds. We study one slot of the network. We consider both path loss and small-scale fading. Specifically, due to path loss, transmitted signals with distance d are attenuated by a factor $d^{-\alpha}$, where $\alpha > 2$ is the path loss exponent. For small-scale fading, we assume Rayleigh fading, i.e., each small-scale channel $h \overset{d}{\sim} \mathcal{CN}(0, 1)$.

Let $\mathcal{N} \triangleq \{1, 2, \cdots, N\}$ denote the set of N files in the network. For ease of illustration, we assume that all files have the same size of S bits. Each file is of certain popularity. u_0 randomly requests one file, which is file $n \in \mathcal{N}$ with probability $a_n \in (0, 1)$, where $\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}} a_n = 1$. Thus, the file popularity distribution is given by $\mathbf{a} \triangleq (a_n)_{n\in\mathcal{N}}$, which is assumed to be known apriori. In addition, w.l.o.g., we assume $a_1 > a_2 \ldots > a_N$.

B. Random Linear Network Coding Based Caching

The network consists of cache-enabled BSs. In particular, each BS is equipped with a cache of size K (in files), i.e., KS (in bits). Assume each BS cannot store all files in $\mathcal{N}$ due to the limited storage capacity, i.e., $K < N$. We propose a random linear network coding-based caching design parameterized by $\mathbf{s} \triangleq (s_n)_{n\in\mathcal{N}}$, where

$$s_n \in \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{m} | m \in \mathbb{N}^+\},\ n \in \mathcal{N}. \tag{1}$$

Here, $\mathbb{N}^+$ denotes the set of positive integers. We now interpret the design parameter $\mathbf{s}$. Consider file n. (i) If $s_n = 0$, file n is not stored at any BS. (ii) If $s_n = 1$, file n is stored at each BS. (iii) If $s_n \in \{\frac{1}{m} | m = 2, 3, \cdots\}$, file n is partitioned into $\frac{1}{s_n}$ subfiles, each of $s_n S$ bits, and each BS stores a random linear combination of all the $\frac{1}{s_n}$ subfiles of file n, i.e., a coded subfile of file n which is of $s_n S$ bits, using random linear network coding. s_n represents the amount of storage (in files) allocated to file $n \in \mathcal{N}$ at each BS. We consider random linear network coding over a large field, and assume that file n can be decoded from any $\frac{1}{s_n}$ coded subfiles of file n stored in the network. For notation convenience, denote $m_n \triangleq \frac{1}{s_n}$ for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$. Note that $m_n = \frac{1}{0} = \infty$ indicates that file n is not stored at any BS. The design parameter $\mathbf{s}$ of a feasible caching design satisfies the following constraint

$$\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}} s_n \leq K. \tag{2}$$

C. File Transmission and Reception

We now introduce the file transmission strategy, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Suppose u_0 requests file n. (i) If $s_n = 0$, u_0 cannot obtain file n from the cache of the network.[1] (ii) If $s_n = 1$, the nearest BS transmits the uncoded file n to u_0 over the whole bandwidth and time slot. (iii) If $s_n \in \{\frac{1}{m} | m = 2, 3, \cdots\}$, each of the m_n nearest BSs (i.e., each BS in $\{1, 2, \cdots, m_n\}$) transmits the coded subfile of file n stored locally to u_0 over the whole bandwidth and time slot. In this paper, we consider an interference-limited network and neglect the background thermal noise. We assume all BSs are active for serving their own users. Thus, the received signal of u_0 is given by

$$y_n = \sum_{i\in\{1,2,\cdots,m_n\}} d_i^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} h_i x_i + \sum_{i\in\Phi_b\setminus\{1,2,\cdots,m_n\}} d_i^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} h_i x_i\ , \tag{3}$$

[1] In this case, u_0 may be served through other service mechanisms. For example, BSs can fetch some uncached files from the core network through backhaul links and transmit them over other reserved frequency bands. The service of uncached files may involve backhaul cost or extra delay. The investigation of service mechanisms for uncached files is beyond the scope of this paper.

where d_i is the distance between BS i and u_0, $h_i \overset{d}{\sim} \mathcal{CN}(0,1)$ is the small-scale channel between BS i and u_0, x_i is the transmit signal from BS i. The first sum in (3) represents the desired signal, and the second sum in (3) represents the interference.

To obtain the m_n subfiles for recovering file n, u_0 needs to decode the m_n signals from y_n. Thus, we adopt SIC. As in [8], we consider the distance-based decoding and cancelation order.[2] In particular, when decoding the signal from BS $i \in \{1,2,\cdots,m_n\}$, all signals from the nearer BSs in $\{1,2,\cdots,i-1\}$ need to be successfully decoded and canceled. The signal-to-interference ratio (SIR) of the signal from BS $i \in \{1,2,\cdots,m_n\}$ after successfully decoding and canceling the signals from the nearer BSs in $\{1,2,\cdots,i-1\}$ is given by

$$\mathrm{SIR}_i = \frac{d_i^{-\alpha}|h_i|^2}{I_i}, \quad i \in \{1,2,\cdots,m_n\}, \tag{4}$$

where $I_i \triangleq \sum_{j\in\Phi_b\setminus\{1,2,\cdots,i\}} d_j^{-\alpha}|h_j|^2$ denotes the interference in decoding the signal from BS i. If $WT\log_2(1+\mathrm{SIR}_i) > s_nS$, u_0 can successfully decode (and cancel) the signal from BS i. Due to the limited computational capability and the delay constraint of u_0, as in [8], we assume that u_0 has limited SIC capability M. That is, u_0 can perform decoding and cancelation for at most M times to obtain its desired signals. Denote $\mathcal{M} \triangleq \{1,2,\cdots,M\}$ and $\mathcal{S} = \{\frac{1}{m} | m \in \mathcal{M}\}$. Therefore, it is possible for u_0 to obtain file n only when $s_n \in \mathcal{S}$.

D. Performance Metric

Requesters are mostly concerned about whether their desired files can be successfully received. Therefore, in this paper, we consider the successful transmission probability of a file randomly requested by u_0 as the network performance metric. According to the file transmission and reception strategy discussed in Section II-C, the successful transmission probability of file n requested by u_0 is given by

$$q_n(s_n) = \mathbb{P}\left[s_n \in \mathcal{S},\ \cap_{i\in\{1,2,\cdots,m_n\}} WT\log_2(1+\mathrm{SIR}_i) > s_nS\right], \quad n \in \mathcal{N}.$$

According to the total probability theorem, the successful transmission probability of a file randomly requested by u_0 is given by

$$q(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}} a_n q_n(s_n).$$

III. Performance Analysis

In this section, we analyze the successful transmission probabilities for a given design parameter $\mathbf{s}$ in the general file size regime, the small file size regime and the large file size regime, respectively.

[2]It has been shown that the order statistics of received powers in modern networks are dominated by the distance [8].

A. Performance Analysis in General File Size Regime

The calculation of $q_n(s_n)$ requires the conditional joint probability density function of $d_1^{-\alpha}|h_1|^2, I_1, I_2, \cdots, I_{m_n}$ conditioned on the distances $d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_{m_n}$, which is difficult to obtain. As in [8], we assume the independence between the events $WT\log(1+\mathrm{SIR}_i) > s_nS$, $i \in \{1,2,\cdots,m_n\}$. Different from [8], here, u_0 requesting file n needs to decode multiple signals from the received signal to recover file n. The successful transmission probability under the proposed caching design is given below.

Theorem 1 (Performance in General File Size Regime): The successful transmission probability $q(\mathbf{s})$ is given by

$$q(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}} a_n f(s_n), \tag{5}$$

where

$$f(s_n) \triangleq \frac{\mathbf{1}\left[s_n \in \mathcal{S}\right]}{\left(1+\frac{2}{\alpha}(2^{\frac{s_nS}{TW}}-1)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} B'\left(\frac{2}{\alpha}, 1-\frac{2}{\alpha}, 2^{-\frac{s_nS}{TW}}\right)\right)^{\frac{s_n+1}{2s_n^2}}}. \tag{6}$$

Here, $\mathbf{1}[\cdot]$ denotes the indicator function, and $B'(x,y,z) \triangleq \int_z^1 u^{x-1}(1-u)^{y-1}\mathrm{d}u$ is the complementary incomplete Beta function.

From Theorem 1, we can see that the successful transmission probability is a decreasing function of file size S. The impact of the design parameter $\mathbf{s}$ on the successful transmission probability is not obvious. Fig. 2 plots the successful transmission probability versus file size S at different $\mathbf{s}$. Fig. 2 verifies Theorem 1 and demonstrates the accuracy of the approximation adopted. In addition, from Fig. 2, we can see that the successful transmission probability decreases as the file size increases. The impact of the design parameter $\mathbf{s}$ on the successful transmission probability may be different at different file sizes. To further obtain design insights, in the following, we analyze the asymptotic successful transmission probabilities in the small file size regime and the large file size regime, respectively.

B. Performance Analysis in Small File Size Regime

In this part, we analyze the successful transmission probability in the small file size regime, i.e., $S \to 0$. Utilizing series expansion of some special functions, from Theorem 1, we derive the asymptotic successful transmission probability in the small file size regime as follows.

Lemma 1 (Performance in Small File Size Regime): As $S \to 0$, we have $q(\mathbf{s}) \overset{S\to 0}{\sim} q_0(\mathbf{s})$,[3] where

$$q_0(\mathbf{s}) \triangleq \sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}} a_n \mathbf{1}\left[s_n \in \mathcal{S}\right] - S\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}} \frac{\ln(2)(s_n+1)a_n}{(\alpha-2)s_nWT}\mathbf{1}\left[s_n \in \mathcal{S}\right]. \tag{7}$$

From Lemma 1, we know that $\lim_{S\to 0} q(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}} a_n \mathbf{1}\left[s_n \in \mathcal{S}\right]$, and $q_0(\mathbf{s})$ increases linearly to

[3]$f(S) \overset{S\to 0}{\sim} g(S)$ means $\lim_{S\to 0} \frac{f(S)}{g(S)} = 1$.


Successful Transmission Probability
File Size S (bits)
×10^6
Analytical (s = [1, 1, 0, 0, 0])
Monte Carlo (s = [1, 1, 0, 0, 0])
Analytical (s = [1, 1/2, 1/2, 0, 0])
Monte Carlo (s = [1, 1/2, 1/2, 0, 0])
Analytical (s = [1/2, 1/2, 1/3, 1/3, 1/3])
Monte Carlo (s = [1/2, 1/2, 1/3, 1/3, 1/3])

Fig. 2. Successful transmission probability versus file size S. $N = 5$, $K = 2$, $\alpha = 4$, $W = 10$MHz, $T = 1$s and $M = 4$. Consider Zipf distribution $a_n = \frac{n^{-\gamma}}{\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}} n^{-\gamma}}$, $\gamma = 1$.

$\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}} a_n \mathbf{1}[s_n \in \mathcal{S}]$ as S decreases to 0. In addition, the design parameter $\mathbf{s}$ affects the asymptotic behavior of the successful transmission probability in the small file size regime by affecting the the limit of $q_0(\mathbf{s})$ and the coefficient of S. Fig. 3 (a) plots the successful transmission probability versus file size S in the small file size regime. We see from Fig. 3 (a) that when the file size is small, the "General" curves, which are plotted using Theorem 1, are reasonably close to the "Asymptotic" curves, which are plotted using Lemma 1. Thus, Fig. 3 (a) verifies Lemma 1.

C. Performance Analysis in Large File Size Regime

In this part, we analyze the successful transmission probability in the large file size regime, i.e., $S \to \infty$. Utilizing series expansion of some special functions, from Theorem 1, we derive the asymptotic successful transmission probability in the large file size regime as follows.

Lemma 2 (Performance in Large File Size Regime): As $S \to \infty$, we have $q(\mathbf{s}) \overset{S\to\infty}{\sim} q_\infty(\mathbf{s})$,[4] where

$$q_\infty(\mathbf{s}) \triangleq 2^{-\frac{(s_{\max}+1)S}{\alpha s_{\max} WT}} \frac{\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}} a_n \mathbf{1}[s_n = s_{\max}]}{\left(\frac{2}{\alpha} B\left(\frac{2}{\alpha}, 1-\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)\right)^{\frac{s_{\max}+1}{2s_{\max}^2}}}. \quad (8)$$

Here, $s_{\max} \triangleq \max\{s_n | n \in \mathcal{N}\}$ and $B(x,y) \triangleq \int_0^1 u^{x-1}(1-u)^{y-1}\mathrm{d}u$ is the Beta function.

From Lemma 2, we know that $\lim_{S\to\infty} q(\mathbf{s}) = 0$, and $q_\infty(\mathbf{s})$ decreases exponentially to 0 as S increases to ∞. In addition, the design parameter $\mathbf{s}$ affects the asymptotic behavior of the successful transmission probability in the large file size regime in the form of $s_{\max}$ only. Fig. 3 (b) plots the successful transmission probability versus file size S in the large file size regime. We see from Fig. 3 (b) that when the file size is large, the "General" curves, which are plotted using Theorem 1, are reasonably close to the "Asymptotic" curves, which are plotted using Lemma 2. Thus, Fig3 (b) verifies Lemma 2.

[4] $f(S) \overset{S\to\infty}{\sim} g(S)$ means $\lim_{S\to\infty} \frac{f(S)}{g(S)} = 1$.


Successful Transmission Probability
File Size S (bits)
×10^5
General (s = [1, 1, 0, 0, 0])
Asymptotic (s = [1, 1, 0, 0, 0])
General (s = [1, 1/2, 1/2, 0, 0])
Asymptotic (s = [1, 1/2, 1/2, 0, 0])
General (s = [1/2, 1/2, 1/3, 1/3, 1/3])
Asymptotic (s = [1/2, 1/2, 1/3, 1/3, 1/3])

(a) Small file size regime.


Successful Transmission Probability
File Size S (bits)
×10^7
General (s = [1, 1, 0, 0, 0])
Asymptotic (s = [1, 1, 0, 0, 0])
General (s = [1, 1/2, 1/2, 0, 0])
Asymptotic (s = [1, 1/2, 1/2, 0, 0])
General (s = [1/2, 1/2, 1/3, 1/3, 1/3])
Asymptotic (s = [1/2, 1/2, 1/3, 1/3, 1/3])

(b) Large file size regime.

Fig. 3. Successful transmission probability versus file size S. $N = 5$, $K = 2$, $\alpha = 4$, $W = 10$MHz, $T = 1$s and $M = 4$. Consider Zipf distribution $a_n = \frac{n^{-\gamma}}{\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}} n^{-\gamma}}$, $\gamma = 1$.

IV. Performance Optimization

In this section, we formulate the successful transmission probability maximization problems and obtain the optimal caching designs in the general file size regime, the small file size regime and the large file size regime, respectively.

A. Performance Optimization in General File Size Regime

The caching design affects the successful transmission probability $q(\mathbf{s})$ via design parameter $\mathbf{s}$. We would like to maximize $q(\mathbf{s})$ by carefully optimizing $\mathbf{s}$ in the general file size regime.

Problem 1 (Caching Design in General File Size Regime):

$$q^* \triangleq \max_{\mathbf{s}} \quad q(\mathbf{s})$$
$$s.t. \quad (1), (2),$$

where $q(\mathbf{s})$ is given by (5). Let $\mathbf{s}^*$ denote the optimal solution.

Note that Problem 1 is a challenging discrete optimization problem with a complex objective function. The number of possible choices for $\mathbf{s}$ is given by $O\left((M+1)^N\right)$. Thus, a brute-force solution to Problem 1, i.e., exhaustive search, is

not acceptable when N and M are large. In addition, a naive greedy solution, i.e., storing the K most popular uncoded files at each BS, cannot guarantee good performance in general, due to the lack of file diversity, as illustrated in [3]. We aim to obtain a low-complexity solution with superior performance, by carefully exploiting structural properties of Problem 1. In particular, we propose a two-stage optimization framework to obtain a near optimal solution to Problem 1. In the first stage, we construct a feasible solution to Problem 1 based on an optimal solution to its relaxed linear optimization problem. In the second stage, we use a greedy method to obtain an improved solution based on the feasible solution obtained in the first stage. The two-stage optimization framework is summarized in Algorithm 1. The details are given below.

1) Stage I: Instead of using parameter $\mathbf{s}$, we introduce an $N \times M$ matrix $\mathbf{x} \triangleq (x_{n,m})_{n\in\mathcal{N},m\in\mathcal{M}}$ to specify the proposed caching design equivalently, where $x_{n,m} \in \{0,1\}$. In particular, we set $x_{n,m} = 1$ if and only if $s_n = \frac{1}{m}$, and $x_{n,m} = 0$ otherwise. Note that the constraints on $\mathbf{s}$ in (1) and (2) are equivalent to the following constraints on $\mathbf{x}$

$$\sum_{m\in\mathcal{M}} x_{n,m} \le 1, \quad n \in \mathcal{N}, \tag{9}$$

$$\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}} \sum_{m\in\mathcal{M}} \frac{x_{n,m}}{m} \le K. \tag{10}$$

Note that $\sum_{m\in\mathcal{M}} x_{n,m} = 1$ indicates that file n is stored in the wireless network, and $\sum_{m\in\mathcal{M}} x_{n,m} = 0$ otherwise. $\sum_{m\in\mathcal{M}} \frac{x_{n,m}}{m} \in \mathcal{S}$ represents the amount of storage allocated to file n at each BS. That is, file n is partitioned into $\frac{1}{\sum_{m\in\mathcal{M}} \frac{x_{n,m}}{m}} \in \mathcal{M}$ subfiles, and each BS stores a coded subfile of file n. Then, by relaxing $x_{n,m} \in \{0,1\}$ to

$$x_{n,m} \in [0,1],\ n \in \mathcal{N}, m \in \mathcal{M}, \tag{11}$$

we obtain the following relaxed problem of Problem 1.

Problem 2 (Continuous Relaxation of Problem 1):

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\mathbf{x}} \quad & \sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}} a_n \sum_{m\in\mathcal{M}} f\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) x_{n,m} \\ s.t. \quad & (9), (10), (11), \end{aligned}$$

where $f(\cdot)$ is given by (6). Let $\mathbf{x}^*$ denote the optimal solution.

Problem 2 is a standard linear optimization problem. We can apply simplex method or interior point method to obtain an optimal solution $\mathbf{x}^*$ to Problem 2 efficiently. Note that $\frac{1}{\sum_{m\in\mathcal{M}} \frac{x^*_{n,m}}{m}}$ is not an integer. Based on the optimal solution $\mathbf{x}^*$, we now construct a binary feasible solution $\overline{\mathbf{x}}^* = (\overline{x}^*_{n,m})_{n\in\mathcal{N},m\in\mathcal{M}}$ to problem 2 (corresponding to a feasible solution to Problem 1), where

$$\overline{x}^*_{n,m} = \begin{cases} 1, & \sum_{i\in\mathcal{M}} x^*_{n,i} \ne 0,\ m = \left\lceil \frac{1}{\sum_{i\in\mathcal{M}} \frac{x^*_{n,i}}{i}} \right\rceil, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$n \in \mathcal{N}, m \in \mathcal{M}. \tag{12}$$

Here, $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer greater than or equal to x. (12) indicates that under the binary feasible solution $\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*$, file n takes the largest amount of storage smaller than or equal to that under the continuous optimal solution $\mathbf{x}^*$. Thus, the total amount of occupied storage under the binary feasible solution $\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*$ is smaller than or equal to that under $\mathbf{x}^*$.

2) Stage II: For any binary feasible solution $\mathbf{x}$ to Problem 2, define

$$s_u(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq K - \sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}} \sum_{m\in\mathcal{M}} \frac{x_{n,m}}{m} \ge 0. \tag{13}$$

Note that $s_u(\mathbf{x})$ can be treated as the unused storage for binary feasible solution $\mathbf{x}$, and can be further utilized to improve the performance. (i) If file n is not stored in the wireless network (i.e., $\sum_{m\in\mathcal{M}} x_{n,m} = 0$) and $s_u(\mathbf{x}) \ge \frac{1}{M}$, we can increase the amount of storage allocated to file n from 0 to $\frac{1}{M}$ by setting $x_{n,M} = 1$ and keeping $x_{n,m}$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}$, $m \ne M$ unchanged. In this case, the unused storage of $\frac{1}{M}$ is utilized to achieve a performance increase of $f(\frac{1}{M})$. (ii) If a coded subfile of file n is stored at each BS (i.e., there exists an integer $m_n \in \{2, 3, \cdots, M\}$ such that $x_{n,m_n} = 1$) and $s_u(\mathbf{x}) \ge \frac{1}{m_n - 1} - \frac{1}{m_n}$, we can increase the amount of storage allocated to file n from $\frac{1}{m_n}$ to $\frac{1}{m_n - 1}$ by setting $x_{n,m_n} = 0$, $x_{n,m_n-1} = 1$ and keeping $x_{n,m}$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}$, $m \ne m_n, m_n - 1$ unchanged. In this case, the unused storage of $\frac{1}{m_n-1} - \frac{1}{m_n}$ is utilized to achieve a performance increase of $f(\frac{1}{m_n-1}) - f(\frac{1}{m_n})$. (iii) Otherwise, we cannot increase the amount of storage allocated to file n. Therefore, we define the increase rate of the successful transmission probability at $\mathbf{x}$ as $g_n(\mathbf{x})$, which is given in (14), as shown at the top of the next page, by allocating some (or all) of the unused storage $s_u(\mathbf{x})$ to file n.

Now, we propose a greedy method to improve the successful transmission probability of $\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*$ obtained in Stage I by gradually utilizing unused storage $s_u(\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*)$. In particular, at each step, for given binary feasible solution $\mathbf{x}$, we calculate the increase rate $g_n(\mathbf{x})$ for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and obtain $n^* \triangleq \arg\max_{n\in\mathcal{N}} g_n(\mathbf{x})$. If $g_{n^*}(\mathbf{x}) > 0$, we allocate some of the unused storage $s_u(\mathbf{x})$ to file n^*. If $g_{n^*}(\mathbf{x}) \le 0$, the greedy method terminates. The greedy method is illustrated in Steps 3-12 of Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1 Near Optimal Solution to Problem 1

1: Obtain the optimal solution $\mathbf{x}^*$ to Problem 2 using simplex method or interior point method.
2: Obtain a binary feasible solution $\overline{\mathbf{x}}^*$ to Problem 2 using (12).
3: Set $\mathbf{x} = \overline{\mathbf{x}}^*$ and $stop = 0$.
4: **while** $stop = 0$ **do**
5: Calculate unused storage $s_u(\mathbf{x})$ by (13).
6: Calculate increase rate $g_n(\mathbf{x})$ for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$, and obtain $n^* = \arg\max_{n\in\mathcal{N}} g_n(\mathbf{x})$.
7: **if** $g_{n^*}(\mathbf{x}) > 0$ **then**
8: Set $x_{n^*,m_{n^*}} = 0$ and $x_{n^*,m_{n^*}-1} = 1$.
9: **else**
10: $stop = 1$
11: **end if**
12: **end while**

$$g_n(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} a_n M f(\frac{1}{M}), & \sum_{m\in\mathcal{M}} x_{n,m} = 0, \quad s_u(\mathbf{x}) \geq \frac{1}{M} \\ a_n \frac{f(\frac{1}{m_n-1}) - f(\frac{1}{m_n})}{\frac{1}{m_n-1} - \frac{1}{m_n}}, & 0 < \sum\limits_{m\in\mathcal{M}\setminus\{M\}} \frac{x_{n,m+1}}{m} - \sum\limits_{m\in\mathcal{M}} \frac{x_{n,m}}{m} \leq s_u(\mathbf{x}) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \qquad n \in \mathcal{N}. \tag{14}$$

B. Performance Optimization in Small File Size Regime

In this part, we consider the optimization of the asymptotic successful transmission probability in the small file size regime.

Problem 3 (Caching Design in Small File Size Regime):

$$\begin{aligned} q_0^* \triangleq \max_{\mathbf{s}} \quad & q_0(\mathbf{s}) \\ s.t. \quad & (1), (2) \end{aligned}$$

where $q_0(\mathbf{s})$ is given by (7).

By exploring structural properties of $q_0(\mathbf{s})$, we can obtain the optimal caching design in the small file size regime.

Lemma 3 (Optimal Solution to Problem 3): Suppose $KM \leq N$. There exists $S_0 > 0$, such that for all $S < S_0$, the optimal solution to Problem 3 is given by

$$s_n^* = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{M}, & n \leq KM \\ 0, & n > KM \end{cases}, \quad n \in \mathcal{N}, \tag{15}$$

and the optimal value to Problem 3 is given by

$$q_0^* = \left(1 - \frac{\ln(2)(1+M)S}{(\alpha-2)WT}\right) \sum_{n\in\{1,2,\cdots,KM\}} a_n \, . \tag{16}$$

Lemma 3 indicates that in the small file size regime, when $KM \leq N$, it is optimal to allocate the storage of each BS equally to the most KM popular files. That is, each of the most KM popular files is partitioned into M subfiles (each of $\frac{S}{M}$ bits), and each BS stores a coded subfile (of $\frac{S}{M}$ bits) of each of the most KM popular files. The reason is as follows. In the small file size regime, the probability that u_0 can decode the signal from each of the M nearest BSs is high, and allocating the storage of each of the M nearest BSs equally to KM files maximizes the number of files that can be successfully decoded by u_0. Thus, storing the most KM popular files obviously maximizes the successful transmission probability. In addition, Lemma 3 reveals that in the small file size regime, the optimal successful transmission probability increases with the product of the cache size and SIC capability, i.e., KM.

C. Performance Optimization in Large File Size Regime

In this part, we consider the optimization of the asymptotic successful transmission probability in the large file size regime.

Problem 4 (Caching Design in Large File Size Regime):

$$\begin{aligned} q_\infty^* \triangleq \max_{\mathbf{s}} \quad & q_\infty(\mathbf{s}) \\ s.t. \quad & (1), (2) \end{aligned}$$

where $q_\infty(\mathbf{s})$ is given by (8).



Fig. 4. Successful transmission probability versus file size S. $N = 1000$, $K = 200$, $\alpha = 4$, $W = 10$MHz, $T = 1$s and $M = 4$. Consider Zipf distribution $a_n = \frac{n^{-\gamma}}{\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}} n^{-\gamma}}$ with $\gamma = 1$.

By exploring structural properties of $q_\infty(\mathbf{s})$, we can obtain the optimal caching design in the large file size regime.

Lemma 4 (Optimal Solution to Problem 4): There exists $S_\infty > 0$, such that for all $S > S_\infty$, the optimal solution to Problem 4 is given by

$$s_n^* = \begin{cases} 1, & n \leq K \\ 0, & n > K \end{cases}, \quad n \in \mathcal{N}, \tag{17}$$

and the optimal value to Problem 4 is given by

$$q_\infty^* = \frac{2^{-\frac{2S}{\alpha WT}}}{\frac{2}{\alpha} B\left(\frac{2}{\alpha}, 1 - \frac{2}{\alpha}\right)} \sum_{n\in\{1,2,\cdots,K\}} a_n \, . \tag{18}$$

Lemma 4 indicates that in the large file size regime, it is optimal to allocate the storage of each BS equally to the most K popular files. That is, each BS stores each of the most K popular (uncoded) files. The reason is as follows. In the large file size regime, the probability that u_0 can decode the signal from any BS besides the nearest one is very small. Allocating the storage of the nearest BS to K uncoded files maximizes the number of files that can be successfully decoded by u_0. Storing the most K popular files obviously maximizes the successful transmission probability. In addition, Lemma 4 reveals that in the large file size regime, the optimal successful transmission probability increases with cache size K and is not affected by SIC capability M.

Now, we use a numerical example to compare the optimal solution obtained by exhaustive search and the proposed near optimal solution obtained by Algorithm 1 in both successful



(a) SIC capability at $K = 150$.



(b) Cache size at $M = 5$.

Fig. 5. Successful transmission probability versus SIC capability M and cache size K.

transmission probability and computational complexity. We also use this example to verify the asymptotically optimal solutions obtained in Lemmas 3 and 4 in the asymptotic file size regimes. Fig. 4 plots the successful transmission probability versus file size S. We can see that the successful transmission probability of the proposed near optimal solution is very close to that of the optimal solution. While, the average computation time for the optimal solution is 336 times of that for the near optimal solution. This demonstrates the applicability and effectiveness of the near optimal solution. In addition, we can see that the successful transmission probabilities of the asymptotically optimal solutions obtained by Lemmas 3 and 4 approach that of the optimal solution in the small and large file size regimes, respectively, verifying Lemmas 3 and 4.

V. Numerical Results

In this section, we compare the proposed near optimal network coding-based caching design with two baselines. In the simulation, we assume the popularity follows Zipf distribution, i.e., $a_n = \frac{n^{\gamma}}{\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} b^{\gamma}}$, where γ is the Zipf exponent. We choose $N = 1000$, $\gamma = 1$, $\alpha = 4$, $W = 10$MHz, $T = 1$s and $S = 1 \times 10^6$ bits. Baseline 1 refers to the network coding-based caching design in which the storage of each BS is equally allocated to the most 300 popular files (i.e., $s_n = \frac{K}{300}$ for $n = 1, 2, \cdots, 300$, and $s_n = 0$ for $n = 301, 302, \cdots, N$). Baseline 2 refers to the uncoded caching design in which the most K popular uncoded files are stored at each BS (i.e., $s_n = 1$ for $n = 1, 2, \cdots, K$, and $s_n = 0$ for $n = K+1, K+2, \cdots, N$).

Fig.5 illustrates the successful transmission probability versus M and K. We can observe that the proposed near optimal caching design significantly outperforms the two baseline designs, and its performance increases much faster with the SIC capability and the cache size. This is because the proposed caching design wisely exploits SIC capability and storage resource. In addition, the proposed caching design and Baseline 1 have much better performance than Baseline 2. This is because the proposed caching design and Baseline 1 provide file diversity.

VI. Conclusion

In this paper, we considered the analysis and optimization of a random linear network coding-based caching design in a large-scale SIC-enabled wireless network. By utilizing tools from stochastic geometry, we analyzed the successful transmission probability in the general file size regime and the two asymptotic file size regimes. Then, we considered the successful transmission probability maximization. We obtained a near optimal solution with superior performance and manageable complexity in the general file size regime. We also obtained closed-form asymptotically optimal solutions in the small and large file size regimes, respectively.

References

[1] E. Bastuğ, M. Bennis, M. Kountouris, and M. Debbah, "Cache-enabled small cell networks: Modeling and tradeoffs," *EURASIP J. Wireless Commun. and Netw.*, 2015.

[2] B. B. Nagaraja and K. G. Nagananda, "Caching with unknown popularity profiles in small cell networks," in *Proc. IEEE GLOBECOM*, Dec. 2015, pp. 1–6.

[3] Y. Cui, D. Jiang, and Y. Wu, "Analysis and optimization of caching and multicasting in large-scale cache-enabled wireless networks," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 15, no. 7, pp. 5101–5112, Jul. 2016.

[4] J. Gu, W. Wang, A. Huang, and H. Shan, "Proactive storage at caching-enable base stations in cellular networks," in *Proc. IEEE PIMRC*, Sep. 2013, pp. 1543–1547.

[5] E. Altman, K. Avrachenkov, and J. Goseling, "Coding for caches in the plane," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1309.0604, 2013. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.0604

[6] V. Bioglio, F. Gabry, and I. Land, "Optimizing MDS codes for caching at the edge," in *Proc. IEEE GLOBECOM*, Dec. 2015, pp. 1–6.

[7] Z. Chen, J. Lee, T. Q. S. Quek, and M. Kountouris, "Cooperative caching and transmission design in cluster-centric small cell networks," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1601.00321, 2016. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.00321

[8] M. Wildemeersch, T. Q. S. Quek, M. Kountouris, A. Rabbachin, and C. H. Slump, "Successive interference cancellation in heterogeneous networks," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 62, no. 12, pp. 4440–4453, Dec. 2014.